

**SILVER STATE ENERGY ASSOCIATION  
BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING**

**JUNE 11, 2008  
MINUTES**

**Call to Order  
10:15 A.M.**

Grand Canyon 1 Conference Room, Southern Nevada Water Authority  
100 City Parkway, Suite 700, Las Vegas, Nevada

**DIRECTORS PRESENT:**

James Salo, Colorado River Commission; Ned Shamo, City of Boulder City; John Mathews, Lincoln County Power District No. 1; Richard Wimmer, Southern Nevada Water Authority; Dr. Larry Moses, Overton Power District No. 5

**STAFF PRESENT:**

Scott Krantz, Brian Chally

**CALL TO ORDER**

The meeting was called to order by James Salo, who noted that the meeting was noticed and posted in accordance with the Nevada Open Meeting law.

**ITEM NO.**

**1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA AND MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF NOVEMBER 7, 2007 AND THE SPECIAL MEETING OF MAY 15, 2008**

FINAL ACTION: A motion was made by Richard Wimmer and seconded by Larry Moses that the agenda for the meeting be approved. Another motion was made by Larry Moses and seconded by Richard Wimmer that the minutes of the November 7 and May 15 meetings be approved. Both motions were unanimously approved.

**2. APPROVE PROJECT SERVICES AGREEMENT NO. 2: EASTERN NEVADA TRANSMISSION PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT AMONG THE SILVER STATE ENERGY ASSOCIATION AND ITS SPECIFIED MEMBERS: CITY OF BOULDER CITY, LINCOLN COUNTY POWER DISTRICT NO. 1, OVERTON POWER DISTRICT NO. 5, AND THE SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER AUTHORITY**

Scott Krantz mentioned that members of the SSEA began preparing the agreement some time ago and various adjustments were made for different member's needs. It was noted that this agreement has already been approved by the members' boards.

John Mathews thanked members of the SSEA for the opportunity to participate in the agreement, which has been reworked and renegotiated for quite some time. He stated the levels of financial participation that are embodied in the agreement make it so that Lincoln

County Power District can responsibly be involved in the project, which greatly benefits the rate payers of Lincoln County.

**FINAL ACTION:** A motion was made by Richard Wimmer and seconded by Ned Shamo to approve Project Services Agreement No. 2: Eastern Nevada Transmission Project Development Agreement among the Silver State Energy Association and its Specified Members: City of Boulder City, Lincoln County Power District No. 1, Overton Power District No. 5 and the Southern Nevada Water Authority. The motion was unanimously approved.

**3. RECEIVE ANY UPDATES FROM THE STAFF ON ANY ELECTRIC RESOURCES AND TRANSMISSION ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE NEEDS OF, REQUIREMENTS FOR, OR IMPACTS TO ANY OF THE SSEA MEMBERS**

Scott Krantz gave an update on the progress of Project Services Agreement No. 1, which was intended to be an effort for members to jointly investigate various potential generation resources to determine resources that could be jointly pursued. The process includes three phases: a technology overview to review the various technologies; identification of specific resources that might fit within those technologies for further investigation; and if there were any specific resources the group wanted to pursue jointly to a third phase that might involve additional analysis, the resources would be moved to Phase 3. Phases 1 and 2 have been completed and the group decided to pursue two specific projects to the third phase: an in-conduit hydroturbine, a small project of .5 MW currently in the early design stages; and a biomass facility that would use the waste from filter cakes and waste oil for generation. Phase 3 includes analyzing and determining the cost of the project and addressing questions about scheduling, timing, emissions, etc. If the group decides to pursue a project, it would be brought back to the Board in the form of a proposed new project for construction. The Board will receive more updates as work progresses.

Jim Salo mentioned that the logo on the SSEA web site may not be clearly interpreted by all. It was suggested that the SSEA Working Group reevaluate the logo, with slogan incorporated, to find a graphical representation that is less subject to differing interpretations and report back to the Board with their recommendations. Once a logo has been agreed upon, counsel should be asked to take a look at whether or not it needs to be copyrighted or trademarked. It was also suggested that the logo be pulled off the web site so it does not become identified with the SSEA.

**4. PUBLIC COMMENT**

There were no speakers wishing to be heard.

**ADJOURN**

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at approximately 10:45 a.m.